Scoring Documentation for Consumer Reporting
Office of Patient Advocate
IHA 2010 Clinical Domain Measures

Eligible Measures and Medical Groups
The eligible measures consist of the Integrated HealthCare Association (IHA) Pay for Performance initiative’s publicly reported Physician Organization clinical domain measures for Reporting Year 2010. A measure must have a denominator of 30 or more patients to be publicly reportable.

These clinical domain measures are reported for approximately 200 physician organizations that participate in the IHA Pay for Performance initiative.

Individual Measure Scoring
All of the performance results are expressed such that a higher score means better performance.

The individual measure scores are calculated as proportional rates using the numerators and denominators that are reported per the P4P measurement requirements.* The measure results are converted to a score using the following formula:

\[(\text{measure numerator}/\text{measure denominator})*100\]

*See the IHA California Pay for Performance Measurement Year 2009 P4P Manual for measure specifications.
Condition Topic and Summary Performance Scoring

Sixteen (16) measures are aggregated to create the summary performance score. The summary scoring process is a two-step method. In step 1, measures are organized into each of 6 condition topics (Appendix A). A mean score is calculated for each topic by summing the proportional rates for each measure within the topic and dividing by the number of measures. With the exception of outlier results which are excluded from the dataset, the scores for all reporting groups are used to calculate topic and summary scores. Valid results for non-reporting groups are included too.

The medical group must have reportable results for at least half of the eligible measures for a given topic to score that topic. To calculate condition topic scores, for any medical group that has missing data for one or more measures within a given condition topic, an adjusted half-scale rule is applied to adjust for the missing values – this rule is described below. The condition topic measures are equally weighted to combine them when calculating a condition topic score. Condition topic scores are produced for the following six topics:

1. Asthma Care
2. Checking for Cancer
3. Chlamydia Screening
4. Diabetes Care
5. Heart Care
6. Treating Children

In step 2, the overall summary score is determined by calculating the grand mean of the 6 condition topic means. Each of the 6 condition topic means is differentially weighted based on the number of measures that comprise a topic (e.g., a topic comprised of 4 measures is weighted twice the value of a topic comprised of 2 measures). The composition of these 6 condition topics is listed in Appendix A. The weight is calculated by determining the proportion of the total measures count (16) that each topic’s measures count represents.

The medical group must have reportable results for at least half of the measures to be eligible for the summary indicator score.

A medical group’s overall summary indicator score is rounded to the tenths decimal and the performance grade is assigned per the cutpoints and the misclassification adjustment factor.

2010 Specific Scoring Notes

Replace the Cervical Cancer Screening measure with the “Cervical Cancer Appropriately Screened Women” measure which assesses cervical cancer screening according to evidence-based guidelines.

Revise the Checking for Cancer performance cutpoints given the new cervical cancer screening measure.

The diabetes HbA1c Control <7% for a Selected Population measure shall not be reported.

The Asthma Medications measure scores are the RY2009 results; this measure was not scored in RY2010 so the prior year’s results will be retained.

Revise the minimum number of measures rule used in the summary scoring method. The new rule requires that the medical group has reportable results for a minimum of 50% of the measures.

Limit outlier designations to the four lab value control measures; no outlier thresholds will be applied to the other 12 measures.
Other Scoring Notes
1. The Childhood Immunization measure is calculated as the unweighted average of the MMR and the VZV antigen scores. If one of the two antigen rates is missing the non-missing rate is used to calculate the measure score.
2. The Controlling Blood Sugar for Diabetes Patients is reverse-scored (100 - score) for public reporting (e.g., higher is better).
3. The Asthma Medication All Ages and Chlamydia Screening All Ages measures are the sum of their respective age cohort numerators and denominators.
4. Three measures are reported as stand-alone measures and are not included in the 6 condition topic scores or in the overall summary score:
   - Low Back Pain Care
   - Antibiotic Treatment for Acute Bronchitis
   - Monitoring for Persistent Medications

Missing Values
Apply an adjusted half-scale rule. A two-part rule is applied to each medical group that has one or more missing measures:

a) A medical group is eligible for a summary indicator score if it has a minimum of half (50%) of the eligible measures – in 2010, given the set of 16 measures the rule is a minimum of eight (8) measures. As such, a minimum number of reportable topics is not required; rather the summary indicator score eligibility is tied to a minimum number of measures.

b) To calculate condition topic scores, for any medical group that has missing data for a given condition topic, apply an adjusted half-scale rule formed by subtracting the all-group mean of each measure from the group’s mean for that measure, averaging the differences, and adding the average difference to the all-item grand mean. (The all-item grand mean is constructed by calculating the mean of all of the eligible measures’ means; NOT by calculating a mean from all of the individual measure results). See Appendix C for an example of the adjusted half-scale rule.

For the Childhood Immunization measure, if one of these two antigen rates is missing then the non-missing rate is used as a measure to calculate the summary indicator score.

Per Appendix B, a small number of medical groups report extremely low scores. As has been done in prior years, the scores that fall within the extreme outlier range are excluded from the scoring given the premise that the scores represent deficient information systems not true performance.
Performance Grades

Each medical group is assigned one of four grades to each of the 6 condition topics and to its overall summary result using the Table 1 cutpoints.

The performance thresholds that are used in defining the grade spans are listed in Table 1 below. These cutpoints are based on the distribution of the RY2008 scores for all of the reporting medical groups: the “excellent” cutpoint is set at the 90th percentile score; the “good” cutpoint set at the 50th percentile score and the “fair” cutpoint set at the 25th percentile score. Scores below the 25th percentile are graded “poor”.

The cutpoints are calculated by summing the Statewide scores for the respective percentile value for each measure in a given topic. In turn, the measure-specific percentile scores are summed and an average score is calculated for each of the 3 cutpoints for that topic.

Top cutpoint: 90th percentile California reporting medical groups
Middle cutpoint: 50th percentile California reporting medical groups
Low cutpoint: 25th percentile California reporting medical groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Excellent Cutpoint</th>
<th>Good Cutpoint</th>
<th>Fair Cutpoint</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Checking for Cancer (3)</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlamydia Screening (1)</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treating Children (3)</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asthma Care (1)</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes Care (6)</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart Care (2)</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All HEDIS Summary (16)</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Scores below the Fair cutpoint are graded “poor”*

Special scoring will be used for the Children Physicians Medical Group – an all-pediatric group. The group reports 5 measures (asthma, Chlamydia screening, child immunizations, children with upper respiratory infection and children with pharyngitis). The group’s summary indicator is comprised of these 5 measures. Correspondingly, the performance cutpoints for the group are based on these 5 measures and the RY2010 cutpoints are 89, 76, 65 for the 90th, 50th and 25th percentiles respectively.

Misclassification Adjustment

Apply a 0.5 point buffer below each of the 3 performance cutpoints – any medical group summary indicator score that falls within the buffer zone is assigned the grade in the next highest category. For example, using a cutpoint of 82, a group whose score is 81.5 would be graded “excellent.” A score of 81.4, which is outside of the buffer zone, would be assigned a grade of “good.”
Legends to Explain Missing Scores
Four codes are used to explain instances in which a medical group measure is not reported:

1. 6666 = removed as outlier (measure specific)
   Medical group’s score was not reported because the score was ruled an outlier given its extreme
difference from the all-medical groups’ mean score. This will be reported as “No report due to
incomplete data” on the OPA website.

2. 9999 = encounter rate threshold not met for any plan (applies to all measures for a group)
   Medical group’s score is not reported if the group’s encounter rate does not meet the IHA
threshold encounter rate. This will be reported as “No report due to incomplete data” on the OPA
website.

3. 8888 = denominator <30 (measure specific)
   Medical group score was not reported because the measure’s denominator has fewer than 30
patients. This will be reported as “Too few patients to report” on the OPA website.

4. 7777 = did not sign agreement to allow public reporting (applies to all measures for a group)
   Medical group declined to report its results. This will be reported as “Not willing to report” on
the OPA website.
## Appendix A

### Table A. Topics and Weights

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wgt</th>
<th>Composites</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1   | **Asthma Care**  
Use of Appropriate Medications for People with Asthma (ASMOV) |
| 3   | **Checking for Cancer**  
Cervical Cancer Screening (Appropriately Screened Women) (ECSASOV)  
Breast Cancer Screening (BCSOV)  
Colorectal Cancer Screening (COL) |
| 1   | **Chlamydia**  
Chlamydia Screening in Women (CHLAMSCR) |
| 6   | **Diabetes Care**  
HbA1c Testing (HBASCR)  
HbA1c Poor Control (>9.0%)* (HBACON)  
HbA1c Control (<8.0%)* (HBAC8)  
LDL Screening (LDLSCR)  
LDL Control <100 (LDL100)  
Nephropathy Monitoring (NEPHSCR) |
| 2   | **Heart Care**  
LDL Screening for Patients with Cardiovascular Conditions (CMCSCR)  
LDL Control <100 for Patients with Cardiovascular Conditions (CMC100) |
| 3   | **Treating Children**  
Childhood Immunization Status—24-Month Continuous Enrollment** (MMRVZV)  
Appropriate Testing for Children with Pharyngitis (CWP)  
Appropriate Treatment for Children with Upper Respiratory Infection (URI) |

*The two HbA1c control measures are included in composite but only the HbA1c Control <8.0% is reported as an individual measure.

**Childhood Immunization measure, if one of these two antigen rates is missing then the non-missing rate is used as a measure to calculate the topic score.
## Appendix B

### Handling of Extreme Low Outliers

Measures with extreme low outlier scores shall be removed from a medical group’s eligible measures set to calculate the summary indicator. These extreme low outliers shall be treated as missing values and the adjusted half-scale rule is applied. For RY 2010, the following outlier designations are applied:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measures</th>
<th>ID</th>
<th>RY2010 Outlier Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Breast Cancer Screening</td>
<td>BCSOV</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cervical Cancer Screening</td>
<td>ECSASOV</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorectal Cancer Screening</td>
<td>COL</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asthma Medications All Ages</td>
<td>ASMOV</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol Screening: cardiovascular</td>
<td>CMCSCR</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol Control: cardiovascular</td>
<td>CMC100</td>
<td>&lt; 0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes LDL Screening</td>
<td>DLDLSR</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes LDL Control</td>
<td>DLDL100</td>
<td>&lt; 0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes - HbA1c Testing</td>
<td>HBASCR</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes – HbA1c Poor Control</td>
<td>HBACON</td>
<td>&lt; 0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes HbA1c Control &lt;8.0%</td>
<td>HBAC8</td>
<td>&lt; 0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes – Nephropathy Testing</td>
<td>NEPHSCR</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlamydia Screening All Ages</td>
<td>CHLAMSCR</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Childhood Immunizations</td>
<td>MMRVZV</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treat Upper Respiratory Illness</td>
<td>URI</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Testing for Children with Pharyngitis</td>
<td>CWP</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring Persistent Medications</td>
<td>MPMOV</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imaging Studies for Low Back Pain</td>
<td>LBP</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antibiotics for Acute Bronchitis</td>
<td>AAB</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix C

Adjusted Half Scale Rule Example
The adjusted half-scale rule calculates the mean of those items present, provided – in this example -- it is at least 5 of the 10 measures. That is, half of the scale needs to be present. The following example illustrates how the rule is applied:

Table C. Example of Half-Scale Rule

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group 1</th>
<th>Group 2</th>
<th>All-Group Mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Measure 1</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measure 2</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measure 3</td>
<td>Missing</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Mean</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>68.3 = all item grand mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted Half-Scale Rule Applied</td>
<td>71.3</td>
<td>65*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Rule is not applied to groups with no missing data

With the unadjusted half-scale rule, we have a score for group 1 in 2 of 3 cases, so we calculate the mean of those. It is 63. Group 2 has all of the measurements; its mean score is 65. However, the evidence strongly suggests group 1 is doing a better job.

We can fix this problem by using an adjustment. We subtract the all-group mean from each measure first, and then average; and then add the average difference to the all item grand mean:

Group 1:  Score = [ (77-75) + (49-45) ] / 2 + Mean of (75,45,85) = 3 + 68.3 = 71.3.
Group 2:  Score = [ (73-75) + (41-45) + (81-85) ] /3 + Mean of (75,45,85) = -3.3+68.3 = 65

The rule that comes from this adjustment is the adjusted half-scale rule.